MINUTES
Executive Committee
Tuesday, May 10, 2016
3:00 p.m. – Room 106 - Administration

Present: Mary Stromberger, Chair; Stephanie Clemons, Vice Chair; Rita Knoll, Executive Assistant; Bradley Goetz, Agricultural Sciences; Margarita Lenk, Business; Jose Chavez, Engineering; Carole Makela for Scott Glick, Health and Human Sciences; Adrian Howkins, Liberal Arts; Nancy Hunter, Libraries; Monique Rocca, Natural Resources; George Barisas, Natural Sciences; C.W. Miller, CVMBS; Daniel Bush, Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs

Absent: Rick Miranda, Provost/Executive Vice President (excused); Scott Glick, Health and Human Sciences (excused); Paul Doherty, Jr., BOG Faculty Representative (excused)

Guests: Jodie Hanzlik, Dean of Graduate School

The meeting was called to order at 3:03 p.m. by Mary Stromberger.

September 6, 2016 FACULTY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEMS:

I. Proposed Faculty Council Agenda – September 6, 2016 – A201 Clark Building – 4:00 p.m.

A. ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Next Faculty Council Meeting – October 4, 2016 – A201 Clark Building – 4 p.m.

2. Executive Committee Meeting Minutes located on the FC website – (http://facultycouncil.colostate.edu/faculty-council-meeting-dates-agendas-minutes/)

B. MINUTES TO BE APPROVED

1. Faculty Council Meeting Minutes –

C. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

D. REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED

1. Provost/Executive Vice President– Rick Miranda

2. Faculty Council Chair – Mary Stromberger
3. Board of Governors Faculty Representative – Paul Doherty, Jr.

E. CONSENT AGENDA

1. UCC Minutes –

F. ACTION ITEMS

G. DISCUSSION
May 10, 2016 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS:

I. Minutes to be Approved

A. Executive Committee Meeting Minutes

1. April 19 and 26, 2016

By unanimous consent, the April 19 and 26, 2016 Executive Committee meeting minutes were approved and will be posted on the Faculty Council website.

II. Items Pending/Discussion Items

A. Announcements

1. Next Executive Committee Meeting: August 23, 2016 – 3:00 p.m. – Room 106 - Administration

Stromberger announced that the next Executive Committee meeting will be held on August 23, 2016.

B. Action Items

1. UCC Meeting Minutes – April 22 and April 29, 2016; May 6, 2016

Makela moved that Executive Committee approve the UCC minutes for April 22 and 29, 2016 and May 6, 2016 on behalf of Faculty Council, so that the Catalog can be updated in time for the 2016-2017 academic year.

Lenk seconded the motion.

Makela’s motion was approved.

2. Proposed revisions Section C.2.1.9.5.d Committee on Libraries of the Academic Faculty and Administrative Professional Manual – CoFG

Stromberger explained that the proposal will add another member to Committee on Libraries; an ex officio, non-voting member from the VP for Research Office. It will be a code change to the Manual.

Lenk moved (Barisas 2nd) to place the proposed revisions Section C.2.1.9.5.d Committee on Libraries of the Academic Faculty and Administrative Professional Manual on September 6, 2016 Faculty Council meeting agenda.
Lenk’s motion was approved.

3. Proposed revisions to the *Graduate and Professional Bulletin* – Continuous Registration - CoSRGE

Jodie Hanzlik, Dean of Graduate School, outlined the advantages of the new CR policy.

Hanzlik explained that a continuous registration policy has been in place for quite some time. The purpose was to monitor students in the programs because we had no way to track them, so students would go off and work on dissertations or theses and it was very confusing. Therefore, continuous registration was started to track students and who was in what department. Over time, things have moved away from that tracking/rationale, so now we have developed a culture where we have students frontload all their credits in front end of semester, then go on CR. Meanwhile, students were using CSU resources, including faculty time and laboratory facilities. It’s become an accountability issue.

Hanzlik feels it’s important that the students’ time and effort be distributed appropriately over the semesters – depending on a Master’s or Ph.D. When students drop below 5 credits, they have to start paying back their loans (i.e. graduate assistant - you don’t get coverage).

The other piece is that we get students who accumulate continuing registration credits. Back to the accountability issue, it’s much healthier if we have students working and taking credits accordingly. The new policy provides specific reasons why students should be on CR, the maximum number of semesters a student can be on CR (10 semesters), and a process for students and advisors to develop progress plans. The student would meet with the advisor the first, fourth and eighth semester on CR to keep the students on track. Want to keep students on their programs and not treading water. For students that need to go beyond 10 semesters of CR, the advisor needs to write the request. The new policy will keep better track of how students are progressing. Hanzlik thinks this is a healthier approach with accountability and makes students more successful.

Hanzlik explained that there has been some pushback, mainly from College of Engineering. Some Engineering departments have been supportive - Chemical and Biological Engineering, and Atmospheric Sciences, as well as the Dean and Associate Dean of CoE were supportive. Civil Engineering has expressed some concerns.

Lenk: Do our peer institutions have a policy?
Hanzlik: Yes, but we are different in number of credits we require from students in general--from GA group and continuous registration. A lot of universities require many more.

Barisas: Second page. Students may register for CR for the following reason: I suggest adding “or” between numbers 1 and 2.

Chavez: Several faculty were concerned about the burden of paperwork to track the students. Faculty are also concerned about students who are on CR right now, not having enough research funds to pay for tuition for these students once they register for at least one credit.

Chavez: International GRA students, do they need to register for credit? And, would there be a delay in implementation?

Hanzlik: Wouldn’t negatively impact GRA international students. There has been a lot of confusion over CR vs one or more credits via the discretion of the faculty member.

Chavez: I suggest the implementation be pushed out later.

Hanzlik: Asking not to implement until spring 2017, but it could go another semester or two.

Chavez: It would make a difference for several faculty to plan better, for funding and number of credits.

Hanzlik: On top of second page..head has submitted. This should say the Dean of the Graduate School. Also, last paragraph, fourth line. Similarly, some departments may adopt more stringent… should say “units” instead of departments, to include SAU’s.

Howkins: What about students right now how are in CR, for example 6 years? How would you deal with that? Are they given another 10?

Hanzlik: The continuous registration semesters would be cumulative. Policy is retroactive – CR semesters that students already have taken will count.

Barisas: How long is candidacy?

Hanzlik: 10 years

Makela: Should say subject “code” not prefix; and also say effective Fall 2017
Lenk moved (Hunter 2nd) to place the proposed revisions to the *Graduate and Professional Bulletin* – Continuous Registration on the September 6, 2016 Faculty Council meeting agenda.

Lenk’s motion was approved.

C. Reports

1. Dan Bush, Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs

The new BOG member and the two BOG members up for reappointment were confirmed. To broker the deal (re: fetal tissue research), CU and CSU will hold a joint scientific forum on the ethics of fetal tissue research in Fall 2016.

Hospital provider fee is still an issue. Still up in the air.

Kelly Long was selected as the new VPUA. She will start July 1, 2016.

Interviews of Ombuds finalists will start soon.

Bush’s report was received.

2. Faculty Council Chair – Mary Stromberger

Stromberger reported the following:

Last week the BOG had their meeting on campus. Stromberger, Clemons and Doherty joined the BOG members for their luncheon and talked about shared governance. Officers from APC and CPC joined as well. Some asked questions about the structure of our councils and how members are elected. They seemed impressed with the numbers, structure and functions of the councils.

This morning, Stromberger, Makela and Clemons attended a High Impact Practice seminar by Jillian Kinze from Indiana University. She was brought in by the Reinvention Center and TILT. Jillian gave examples of HIPs and what other universities are doing. A minimum recommendation is two high impact practices by graduation (i.e. freshman seminar, Capstone, study abroad, internship, intensive writing, community service projects, etc.). CSU has a task force on high impact practices through TILT, and rubrics are being developed to assess if courses are high impact.

Stromberger attended the CPC luncheon today. Guest speaker was Roger Culver from Department of Physics, who is retiring after 50 years at CSU. Roger gave a presentation on his favorite celestial bodies.
Stromberger’s report was received.

3. Board of Governors Faculty Representative – Paul Doherty, Jr.

Stromberger reported the following on behalf of Doherty:

Board of Governors meeting report:

1. Lori Peek was awarded the BOG teaching award. She gave some nice acceptance remarks.

2. CSU budget was approved
   a. Salary increase 1.8%
   b. DCE contribution 0.5%
   c. CSU-Pueblo will get 1% salary increase contingent on hitting fall enrollment goals.
   d. CSU Global has a $10 million surplus.

3. CSU System Reserve was created. This is a big deal. Doherty is expecting Provost Miranda to mention something in his report. Doherty didn’t want to speculate too much about this. Doherty asked if Faculty input would be obtained about how reserves would be used. Chancellor Frank said that was his intention. The Reserve will be made up from all 3 campuses after expenses are paid, each campus’s own reserve criteria is met.

4. A presentation on You@CSU was made. This is an initiative about Student Health and looked interesting. Students log on to the web site anonymously and can get feedback and pointed to support services around campus.

5. The Shields underpass plan was approved as was the Water Resources Center at the National Western Center.

6. The Shared Governance lunch went well.
   a. Everyone is impressed with the number of councils and people involved (Pueblo and Global might even be in awe). The BOG doesn’t quite get some of the nuances of shared
governance, but that lunch led to some useful side conversations.

7. Other interesting tidbits: During the ASCSU report, one governor asked if faculty is ever surveyed about what they think of the quality of students (kind of the flipside of course surveys where students are assessing faculty). Doherty thought that was an interesting question.

Doherty’s report was received.

D. Discussion Items

1. President’s Evaluation

Barisas moved (Chavez 2nd) to enter executive session.

Lenk moved (Barisas 2nd) to exit executive session.

Executive Committee adjourned at 4:55 p.m.

Mary Stromberger, Chair
Stephanie Clemons, Vice Chair
Rita Knoll, Executive Assistant